



**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3748-2023

Date of decision : 05.05.2025

Hari Sharan

...Petitioner

Vs.

Abhinav Aggarwal and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through this present revision petition, the petitioner assails the correctness of the trial Court's order permitting the petitioner and his brother Sh. Naresh Kumar to be brought on record as legal representatives of their father-Sh. Pawan Kumar, who died during the pendency of the suit.

2. The petitioner claims to be the exclusive heir of Sh. Pawan Kumar on the basis of a registered Will dated 15.05.2009, whereas, Sh. Naresh Kumar claims on the basis of natural succession.

3. While deciding the application to bring on record the legal representatives, the Court does not express any final opinion on the right to inherit the property of the deceased. The legal representatives are brought on record only to the purpose of prosecuting or defending the suit. The order passed while deciding the application to bring on record legal representatives does not operate as *res judicata*.



4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

5. Hence, the revision petition is dismissed.

05.05.2025
neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No