



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226

CWP-9869-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.03.2025

Munesh Kumar Meena

...Petitioner

VERSUS

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Lokesh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Lalit Attri, Central Govt. Counsel, for
Mr. Sunil K. Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for respondents No.3 and 4.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the instant writ petition is to the advertisement/notification dated 08.02.2019 bearing No.41/2018, issued in pursuance to advt. No.41/2018 dated 09.11.2018, wherein 30% marks have been kept for interview in Group-B (Non-Gazetted), Group-‘C’ and ‘D’ posts.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 submits that the challenge to the advertisement was solely on account that marks have been assigned for interview which such practice having been dispensed with as per the subsequent orders issued, the impugned advt. has already been withdrawn.

Consequently, the instant writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

19.03.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No