



CRM-M-41228-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

350

CRM-M-41228-2025

Date of decision: 21.08.2025

Ranjeet Singh And Another

...Petitioners

V/s

State Of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.ShekhawatPresent: Mr. S.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition under Section 482 of the BNSS. with a prayer to grant the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in case FIR No. 108 dated 19.06.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 190, 191(3), 351 of BNS at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that as per the allegations levelled by the complainant, the petitioner No. 1 had caused two blows with *Kirch* (sharp-edged weapon) on the left and right biceps of complainant-Gurdeep Singh. Even Malkiat Singh, petitioner No. 2 had caused injuries to complainant-Gurdeep Singh while he had fallen down on the ground. Learned counsel further submits that in fact all the injuries suffered by Gurdeep Singh have been declared to be simple in nature. He further submits that in the present case, in fact, the complainant party was the aggressor and petitioner No. 1 had suffered grievous injuries on his head which is apparent from the M.L.R. (Annexure P-4). Even the petitioners had



CRM-M-41228-2025

2

got registered the cross-version but no action was taken by the police. Thus, the complainant party was the real aggressor and the police had not taken action against them under the influence of the complainant party.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioners and the petitioners are not entitled for the concession of pre-arrest bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, admittedly, all the injuries suffered by Gurdeep Singh has been declared to be simple in nature. Moreover, in the occurrence the petitioner No. 1 had suffered 4 injuries on his person. Thus, the trial Court is yet to decide the question of aggressor in present case.

6. Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioners are granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioners to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

21.08.2025

ankit

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No