

CWP-9710-2025(O&M)

:1:

2025.PHHC.070748



**143(3) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9710-2025(O&M)  
Date of decision: 23.05.2025**

M/S RABDITTA CORPORATES

...Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present: Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate for respondent-UT, Chandigarh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner is a partnership firm running an establishment by the name of "Kala Ghoda" in Sector-26, Chandigarh. Through an order dated 24.03.2025, passed by the Collector (Excise)-cum-Additional Excise & Taxation Commissioner, UT, Chandigarh (for short, the Collector), on the withdrawal of a no objection certificate granted to the petitioner by the Chandigarh Police on the ground that the petitioner was causing noise pollution, the petitioner's liquor licence was revoked. The afore referred order of the Collector is the subject matter of challenge through the instant petition.

2. On 22.04.2025 learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



made a statement that the defects on the basis whereof NOC of the petitioner had been withdrawn by the Chandigarh Police, leading to the revocation of its liquor licence, stood cured by the petitioner resulting in the noise levels being emitted from its business premises compliant with the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000 (for short, the 2000 Rules) and Sections 58 to 61 of the Punjab Police Act, 2007 (for short, the 2007 Act).

3. On the making of the afore statement, Mr. Jhanji submitted that without prejudice to the stand taken by the respondents in their written statement, the business premises of the petitioner shall be inspected to verify the afore claim and a report in this regard shall be submitted on or before the adjourned date.

4. In terms of the above statement of Mr. Jhanji, a committee was constituted by the respondent-Administration which inspected the premises of the petitioner and found that on the day of the inspection the petitioner was confirming with the noise pollution norms set out by the respondent-Administration.

5. Today, an affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioner in Court which is ordered to be taken on record. As per the said affidavit, the petitioner undertake to abide, for all times in the future, with the noise levels being emitted from its business premises, in conformity with the relevant provisions of the 2007 Act and the 2000 Rules.

6. In the light of the filing of the afore affidavit and the

**CWP-9710-2025(O&M)**

:3:

2025.PHHC.070748



inspection report dated 28.04.2025 Mr.Ghai states that the petitioner's case for the grant of liquor licence shall now be considered by the respondent-Administration within two weeks, in accordance with law.

7. The afore statement by Mr. Ghai satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners.

8. Disposed of.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**(LAPITA BANERJI)**  
**JUDGE**

**23.05.2025**

Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No