



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-50941-2024

Date of Decision: 08.01.2025

NEENA MAHAJAN

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate for

Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Apprehending his arrest the petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.138 dated 19.09.2024 under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur.

2. Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate puts in an appearance and submits that he may be permitted to withdraw his vakalatnama on behalf of petitioner. Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, has put in an appearance on behalf of petitioner and filed his *power of attorney* in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

3. Affidavit dated 19.12.2024 filed by learned State counsel is taken on record. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Naresh Kumar submits that in compliance of order dated 15.10.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.



4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 15.10.2024 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

7. The accused/petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

8. The accused/petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

9. The accused/petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.

10. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

08.01.2025

Kavita

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No