



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25988-2025

Date of Decision : 03.09.2025

INDERJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Satinderpal Singh Dhanesar, Advocate with
Mr. Jashandeep Singh Bains, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a *mandamus* upon the respondent no.3 to reframe the joint timetable on the route, Ferozepur-Makhu-via-Mallanwala (Annexure P-4), especially, considering the fact that earlier timetable was merely a tentative, and has since expired, after its publication in the Motor Transport Gazette, in accordance with notification dated 24.07.2023 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite making numerous representations, apart from verbal requests by the petitioner to the authority concerned, no step was taken, and finally, the petitioner was left with no other option except to serve a legal notice

dated 27.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) upon the respondents concerned, but due to their indolent and lackadaisical approach, the same has not been decided till date.

3. Notice of motion.

3. On an advance notice, Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, who is present in court, notice accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State.

4. He, after having instructions from Amandeep, RTA (PCS), informs this Court that the respondents are in the process of framing a new timetable, which would be finalized within two months time and the publication is also required to be made in this regard.

5. In view of the above specific statement, as suffered by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he does not wish to press the instant petition. However, seeks a *mandamus* upon the respondent authorities to adhere to the aforesaid undertaking given before this Court.

6. In view of the above, the instant petition is **disposed of** with a *mandamus* upon the respondent authorities to adhere to the undertaking give before this Court by the learned State counsel on instructions.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

September 03, 2025
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No