



144

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CR-4471-2024 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision : 20.08.2025**

Vertex Aviation Pvt Ltd ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Harbir Kaur Bhatia & Anr ... Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN**

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner  
(through hybrid mode).

Mr. Bhasham Kinger, Advocate for  
Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate for the respondents.

Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate (*Amicus Curiae*).

**ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)**

1. The order dated 18.12.2024 passed by this Court was challenged by the respondents before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.320 of 2025 and on 21.07.2025 the following order was passed:

*"1. Leave granted.*

*2. Heard learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellants and the learned counsel appearing for the respondent.*

*3. The present appeal arises out of the impugned order dated 18.12.2024, passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in Civil Revision No.4471 of 2024. Vide the impugned order, the High Court directed*

*the appellants to restore the goods back within a period of 15 days from the date of the impugned order and initiated suo motu contempt proceedings against the appellants.*

*4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellants submitted that the appellants had acted in terms of the order dated 20.07.2024 passed by the learned Trial Court. The said submission made by the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellants will have to be seen contextually. Most of the goods had been removed, and only a small portion was remaining. This is nothing but, a misconception of facts.*

*5. In such view of the matter, we are inclined to set aside the impugned order.*

*6. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no need for this Court to interfere with the matter as the valuation of the goods is very high and, in any case, the order passed by the Trial Court has no legal basis.*

*7. Admittedly, the suit filed by the respondent is still pending and the issues will have to be decided at the time of disposal of the suit.*

*8. No doubt, without expressing any opinion on the Order passed by the High Court while disposing of the Civil Revision, we are of the view that the order passed by the Trial Court cannot be sustained.*

*9. Be that as it may, much water has flown under the*

*bridge and in order to work out the equities and to facilitate the completion of the trial, we direct the appellants to deposit a sum of Rs.75 lakhs on the file of the Trial Court within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The interim order dated 06.01.2025 stands extended for the aforesaid period of six weeks. On such deposit being made, the impugned order passed by the High Court in the Revision Petition shall stand set aside. We direct the Trial Court to make an endeavour to dispose of the suit within a period of nine months from today.*

*10. We make it clear that our order will not come in the way of action being contemplated against the concerned Trial Judge on the administrative side.*

*11. The appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.*

*12. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.”*

2. In view of the above, no further orders is required to be passed in the present case. Accordingly, the present revision petition is disposed off. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

20.08.2025  
Yogesh Sharma

( ALKA SARIN )  
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking  
Whether reportable: YES/NO