



264

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24398-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.05.2025

SURAJ AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Amrit Paul, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.20 dated 13.03.2024 registered under Sections 452, 427, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot, on the basis of compromise dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The following order was passed on 06.05.2025:

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.20 dated 13.03.2024 registered under Sections 452, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot, on the basis of compromise dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Notice of motion for 27.05.2025.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State and Ms. Deepti Rampal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 and files

Vakalatnama and memo of appearance, respectively, which are taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 27.05.2025.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.20 dated 13.03.2024 registered under Sections 452, 427, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot, on the basis of compromise dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

27.05.2025
shruti

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>