

2025:PHHC:115096



COCP-3063-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-3063-2025

Date of decision : 28.08.2025

Subhash Chander (deceased) through his LRs and others

... Petitioners

Versus

T.L. Satyaprakash and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Radhe Shyam Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Jagdish Manchanda, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel has filed compliance report along with Annxures R-1 to R-3, which is taken on record.
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Rahul Mittal, General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Hisar, has submitted that vide order dated 24.07.2025, the respondents have held the present petitioners entitled for regularization of services after completion of two years of contractual services. The relevant portion of the said order is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“By considering the all above mentioned facts of my finding and facts mentioned on the office file, I have come to the conclusion that as per judgment dated 17.07.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh in CWP No. 14210 of 2001 titled as Subhash Chander and



others Vs. State of Haryana and Others, the above mentioned petitioners are held entitled for regularization of services after completion of 2 years of contractual services subject to final outcome of any Review Petition, SLP or any other proceedings before the Hon'ble Court.

I order accordingly.

*General Manager,
Haryana Roadways, Hisar.”*

It is submitted that the calculations as to the amount which is payable to each of the petitioners have already been done and the amount would be paid on or before 17.10.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the present petition be disposed of at this stage but the respondents be bound by the statement made before this Court and liberty be granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition in case the respondents back track from the statement made before this Court.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances and the in view of the statement made on behalf of the State, the present petition is disposed of at this stage. The respondents would be bound by the statement made before this Court. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition in case the respondents back track from the statement made before this Court.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

August 28, 2025.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No