



is in custody since then. Even no recovery was effected from the petitioner and his case is clearly distinguishable from the case of Aabid, main accused.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is an admitted fact that no recovery was effected from the petitioner in the present case and he is continuing in custody since 08.09.2024. Moreover, the petition is primarily based on the statements of the official witnesses and the petitioner is not in a position to influence the witnesses. Moreover, the petitioner is the first offender.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

30.04.2025  
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No