



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

237

COCP-1588-2023

Date of decision: 15.07.2024

RAJDEV MEHRA AND ANR.

...Petitioners

Versus

VINAY PARTAP SINGH, IAS, ESTATE OFFICER, UT.CHANDIGARH  
AND ANR.

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Jaivir S.Chandail, Addl. Standing Counsel and  
Ms.Shubreet Kaur, Junior Panel Counsel,  
for the respondents.

**RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)**

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 30.11.2022 passed in CWP-23795-2022.
2. Affidavit of respondent No.1 filed in the Registry, is taken on record.
3. Counsel for the respondents have submitted that the order dated 30.11.2022 passed in CWP-23795-2022 has since been complied with and speaking order dated 28.04.2023 (Annexure R-1) has been passed. Even, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 28.04.2023 before the Division Bench by filing a separate writ petition.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
5. However, if any grievance of the petitioners is left unredressed, they would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

**15.07.2024**

parveen kumar

**(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No