



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-38914 of 2025
Date of decision: 28.07.2025

Rajdeep Singh @ Deepa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Parampreet Singh Paul, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.28 dated 17.04.2025, under Sections 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Pojewal, District SBS Nagar (Nawanshahr), Punjab.
2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner was found in possession of 40 intoxicant tablets containing salt Etizolam weighing 852 grams which false within the ambit of commercial quantity.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner had purchased the said tablets on a prescription made to his grand-father Surinder Singh, which he has annexed with the petition as Annexure P-2, who was suffering from Insomania and depression and the said medicine was prescribed by the doctor of Sohana Hospital, SAS Nagar Mohali. The petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 09 days as under trial and the trial is yet to commence, therefore, petitioner be released on regular bail.
4. Notice of motion.



5. Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 09 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner and the fact that trial is yet to commence; the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.07.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No