

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. NO.1065 OF 2014

DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 05, 2014

Tarsem Singh and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Sohan Singh Grewal

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anant Kataria, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondent has referred to the order dated 09.01.2014 (Annexure R-1) passed by the Superintending Engineer, Amritsar Drainage Circle, Amritsar, vide which the claim of the petitioners has been rejected. It has further been stated that during the period for which the petitioners had worked with the Department, the salary for the same has been released to them.

Counsel for the petitioners states that liberty may be granted to the petitioners to challenge the order dated 09.01.2014 (Annexure R-1). He further states that the counsel is not aware of the period for which they had worked and also about the payment of arrears.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for by counsel for the petitioners.

Rule discharged.

December 05, 2014
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE