



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-61658-2024  
Date of decision:16.09.2025**

RANJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate,  
for petitioner.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. Ravi Dangi, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)**

1. Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. has been filed for quashing FIR No.190 dated 18.11.2022 under Sections 354A of Indian Penal Code, 1860, Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar Rural, on the basis of compromise dated 28.11.2024 effected between the parties.
2. On 09.12.2024, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 24.12.2024 for recording of their statements in respect of compromise.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jalandhar on 19.12.2024. Statement of the Investigating Officer, SI Resham Singh (retd.) was also recorded on 15.02.2025. Learned Judicial Magistrate First

Class, Jalandhar has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

- "i. It is respectfully submitted that as per statement of IO there is only one accused in the present FIR namely Ranjit Singh, who is petitioner before Hon'ble High Court.
  - ii. As per the statement of the IO the accused was not declared proclaimed offender.
  - iii. It is respectfully submitted that in view of the statements got recorded by the complainant and accused person, this Court is satisfied that the compromise effected between them is valid and voluntary, which is not the result of any pressure or coercion.
  - iv. As per report of IO accused in the present case is not involved in any other case.
  - v. It is respectfully submitted that as per the statement of the IO there was only one complainant/victim in the present case namely Amanjot Kaur, who was party to the compromise. The only accused is also party to the compromise."
4. Learned State Counsel and learned Counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the

criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. It is conceded that pursuant to the compromise, petitioner has vacated the tenanted premises. The incident which has its genesis in a landlord tenant dispute, warrants exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

5. Following the principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in "*Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another*" 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others*" (2012) 10 SCC 303, the petition is allowed. FIR No.190 dated 18.11.2022 under Sections 354A of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar Rural, is quashed qua the petitioner.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)  
JUDGE

16.09.2025

Sunil Chander

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No