



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

LPA-978-2016

Date of Decision: 10.09.2025

Surjeet Singh (since deceased) through his LRs

....Appellants

Versus

Commissioner, Ambala Division, Ambala and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate for the appellants.

Ms. Ruchi Sekri, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the orders dated 08.10.2013 and 23.12.2014 passed in CWP-11275-2012 and in Review Application No.RA-CW-656-2014 by the learned Single Judge, by which, the eviction and declaration of the appellant as unauthorized occupant under Sections 5 and 7 of the Public Premises and Land (Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act, 1973, have been upheld.

2. After arguing for some time and realizing that this Court is not inclined to grant any relief, learned counsel for the appellants submits that he intends to buy the said land on market value, and the appellants intend to approach the respondents by filing a representation and the respondents be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received from the appellants for the sale of the land in question to them at market value, the same will be considered and an



appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks of receiving the same and in case it is found feasible to grant the relief to the appellants, the same will be granted otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the said claim.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the said representation is not accepted, the appellants should undertake to vacate the said premises in a time bound manner.

5. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the appellants submits that in case, the representation filed by the appellants for the sale of land in question at market rate is not accepted by the State, within a period of two months from the date of rejection, the vacant possession of the land in question will be handed over to the respondents.

5. In view of the above, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the present appeal may kindly be disposed having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a representation.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. It is made clear that both the parties, will be bound by their undertakings recorded hereinbefore.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 10, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No