



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**CRA-S-300-2025**

**Date of decision :27.03.2025**

ANIL

..... APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

..... RESPONDENTS

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT**

\*\*\*

Present :- Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*

**N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (ORAL)**

1. The appellant has filed the present appeal under Section 14(A) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities Act) against the impugned order dated 02.01.2025 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, whereby the bail application filed by the present appellant was ordered to be dismissed in case FIR No.75 dated 04.03.2024 under Sections 323, 325, 308, 506, 34 IPC & Sections 3(1) (s) & 3(2)(Va) SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station Israna, Panipat.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 10.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-



CRA-S-300-2025

2

*“Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the appellant was not initially named in the FIR nor any role was attributed to him. He further contends that after 27 days, the police had recorded the disclosure statement of Netarpal, co-accused and in the said statement, name of the appellant had surfaced. He further contends that the statement made by his co-accused is inadmissible against the present appellant and the appeal is liable to be dismissed. He further contends that except the disclosure statement suffered by Netarpal, there is no other evidence against the appellant and he is ready to join the investigation.”*

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the appellant has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the appellant has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 10.02.2025 is made absolute. The appellant shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

**( N. S. SHEKHAWAT )  
JUDGE**

**27.03.2025***vipin*

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes**  
**Whether Reportable : No**