



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP-1220-2025

Decided on: 06.02.2025

Laxmi and another**.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents No. 4 and 5.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Laxmi	Naresh
Age (in years)	19 years 9 months	27 years
Date of marriage	31.01.2025	
Rite	Hindu Rites	
Representation on	01.02.2025	
Submitted to	Respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No. 4 and 5	



2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 01.02.2025(Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – The Senior Superintendent of Police Hisar, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent -State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 –The Senior Superintendent of Police, Police, Hisar, to look into the representation dated 01.02.2025(Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. It is made clear that before considering the representation, it would be ascertained by the concerned Authority that whether petitioner No.2 is already married or not; or any criminal/civil case registered against him.

7. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

06.02.2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**