



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

**RSA-461-2006**

**Date of decision: 14.10.2025**

Om Singh

.....Appellant

Vs.

The Haryana Tourism Corporation and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. V. D. Sharma, Advocate  
for the appellant.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the sole appellant has expired. He intimated the LRs of the appellant but has not received any response so far. In view of the above, this Court is left with no choice but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. Liberty is, however, granted to the LRs of appellant to revive the instant appeal, if cause of action still survives.

**October 14<sup>th</sup>, 2025**

*Sahil*

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)**

**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*

*Whether reportable : Yes/No*