



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(137)

CWP-28637-2025

Date of decision:- 24.09.2025

Krishan Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Singh Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, in the nature of *mandamus*, directing respondents/Department to decide representations/letters, Annexures P-2, P-4 to P-7, and for directing respondents/Department to release the due payment of Rs.6,87,122/-, along with interest.

2. Perusal of the petition shows that petitioner was allotted work of laying pipeline in village Mahra, District Sonapat, vide Memo No.1284 dated 11.02.2010, Annexure P-1. Petitioner claims that he had completed the work and raised a bill. Reference has been made to communication dated 14.12.2011, Annexure P-2, to support the submission. Counsel has urged that despite submitting representations, respondents have not disbursed the due payment.

3. It is evident from the perusal of the petition that the claim, if any, arose in the year 2010. Claim has become barred by time. Merely sending representations over the years, cannot extend the limitation. Petitioner has failed to assert his right within the statutory period of limitation. By filing the instant writ petition, petitioner is attempting to revive a claim, which has become stale. Direction, as sought for, cannot be granted.

4. Petition is dismissed.

24.09.2025

Kamal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)****JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No