



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CRM-M-52690-2024

Date of decision: January 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2025

Rahul alias Pichi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**Present: Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Gaur, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.44 dated 30.03.2024 under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Division No.5, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

2. Vide order dated 23.10.2024, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

*“Learned counsel inter alia contends that a perusal of the allegations levelled in the FIR reveals that he has not been attributed any injury in the occurrence in question and the only role attributed to him is of raising a lalkara.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 23.10.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 23.10.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

**January 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2025**  
*Puneet*

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No