

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****123****CR-3334-2025 (O&M)****Date of Decision : 02.07.2025**

Rajinder Kumar

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Ram Kumar and Others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate for respondent No.1.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present revision petition has been filed challenging the order dated 20.03.2025 whereby the evidence of the petitioner has been closed by order.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that three witnesses are to be produced and given two effective opportunities, the petitioner would conclude his evidence. It is further the contention that the petitioner is willing to compensate plaintiff-respondent No.1 by way of costs.
3. *Per contra* learned counsel for the plaintiff-respondent No.1 has pointed out that repeatedly the matter was being adjourned to enable the petitioner to lead his evidence, however, despite numerous opportunities, the petitioner failed to lead his evidence and therefore the order dated 20.03.2025 cannot be faulted with.

4. Heard.

5. In the present case the suit is for specific performance with consequential relief of permanent injunction. Keeping in view the nature of suit, this Court deems it appropriate to grant two effective opportunities to the petitioner to lead his evidence subject to payment of Rs.30,000/- as costs to be paid to the plaintiff-respondent No.1, which shall be a condition precedent.

6. The Trial Court is requested not to grant any unnecessary adjournments to either of the parties and to conclude the trial expeditiously.

7. Disposed off. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

02.07.2025

jk

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO