



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225-1

CRM-M-1711 of 2024
Date of decision: 24.07.2025

Dhruv Kumar Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. P. S. Ahluwalia, Advocate, and
Ms. Bhavi Kapur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Ravisha Mahajan, Advocate, for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. 1973 in case FIR No.215 dated 04.07.2022 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar.

2. Vide order dated 04.03.2024, petitioner was ordered to be released on interim bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits after releasing the petitioner on interim bail, the bank has already auctioned his house and he is having only a residential house and proceedings as per law are still in progress. The petitioner has undergone for a period of 5 months and 20 days. He further submits that petitioner has not misused the concession of interim bail granted to him and he is willing to join each and every date of proceedings.

3. Learned State counsel submits that after releasing him on bail, petitioner has joined almost all the proceedings before the trial Court and he



has not misused the concession of interim bail. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 5 months and 20 days.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently oppose the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner as amount of Rs.2,11,00,000/- is still outstanding towards the petitioner and co-accused.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and considering custody period undergone by the petitioner and the undertaking that he will join all the proceedings before the trial Court, the order dated 04.03.2024 granting him interim bail is made **absolute**.

7. In the light of the above, the present petition is disposed of accordingly. However, the petitioner shall consider the offer made by Bank for One Time Settlement.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of their bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No