



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

**CRM-M-25601-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:- 28.05.2025**

AKASHDEEP SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present : Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, AAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)**

1. Status report dated 27.05.2025 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural), is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Arguments heard.

3. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

<b>FIR No.</b>	<b>Dated</b>	<b>Sections</b>	<b>Police Station</b>
19	29.03.2025	140(3), 190 and 61(2) BNS	Tarsikka, District Amritsar (Amritsar Rural)

4. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner



that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. He contends that that petitioner was present in the hotel as per Annexure P-2 at 01:25 PM on the fateful day and there is no occasion for him to be present at the place of occurrence at around 02:07 PM, which is about 25 km away from the hotel. He submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel while referring to the short reply submitted by State has opposed the bail petition by arguing that the petitioner had actively participated in the crime, wherein he along with other co-accused had kidnapped the live-in-partner of the complainant from the petrol pump at Tangra and took him to the plot of the petitioner, where he was mercilessly beaten and thereafter his naked video was made and thereafter he was thrown away on the G.T. Road. He submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the conspiracy, and considering the gravity of the offence, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail. Hence, prayed for dismissal of the bail petition.

6. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that as per the case of prosecution, the petitioner and other co-accused had kidnapped Angrej Singh, live-in-partner of the complainant from the petrol pump at Tangra and took him to the plot where he was mercilessly beaten up and his naked video was prepared and then thrown away near G.T. Road. So far as his plea of alibi is concerned, even if taken it as such, the petitioner got entry in the hotel at 01:25 PM and considering the distance between the place of occurrence and the hotel to be around 25 km,



there is no obstacle in his presence at the place of occurrence at 02:07 PM. Besides, there is specific allegation against the petitioner along with co-accused to have kidnapped Angrej Singh and taken him to the spot where he was mercilessly beaten.

7. Therefore, considering the serious nature and gravity of offence, it is observed that no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail, as his custodial interrogation is required to unearth the conspiracy. As a consequence, the petition is hereby dismissed.

8. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

**(SANJIV BERRY)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.05.2025**  
*S.Sharma(syr)*

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No