

2025:PHHC:044927



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

225

CWP-26382-2019

Date of Decision: 02.04.2025

SURJEET SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for the selection/appointment of Group-D post pursuant to Advertisement No.04 of 2018 against the available vacancies for Sports Category in terms of Haryana Government Policy of 1993 (Annexure P-1) and subsequent notification dated 25.05.2018 (Annexure P-5).

Learned State Counsel has informed that pursuant to the order dated 10.07.2024 passed by this Court, the case of the petitioners was considered and insofar as the petitioners No.1 and 2 are concerned, they had produced the Sports Certificate in the game of Skating and Athletics, while the petitioner No.3- Manju had produced the Certificate solely for the game of Karate. He further submits that the claims of the petitioners have been rejected vide order dated 13.01.2025 after noticing that as regards the Certificate in the game of Karate is concerned, the said game has not even been recognized by the Haryana Olympic Association and hence, the Sports Gradation Certificate could not be issued for the said game

in favour of petitioner No.3. He further submits that so far as the events of Skating and Athletics, for which the petitioners No.1 and 2 claim participation and issuance of Sports Gradation Certificates, are concerned, the District Sports Officer has conveyed that there is no record available with respect to the said sports events of petitioners No.1 and 2. He thus submits that the petitioners would be required to take recourse to an appropriate remedy against the aforesaid office order, copy whereof has already been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition at this stage with liberty to take recourse to the appropriate remedy available to the petitioners to pursue their rights in accordance with law,

Disposed of as withdrawn at this stage with the liberty as aforesaid.

APRIL 02, 2025.

Rajender

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*