



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

**COCP No.5012 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.02.2025**

M/S GURDAS CHAND RAJINDER KUMARPetitioner
Vs
GURDARSHAN SINGH KUNDAL AND ORSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Kirandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel on instructions from Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Assistant from the office of Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Gidarbaha, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib submits that though petitioner claimed Rs.7.34 lakhs, however, respondents on verification were able to verify the bills worth Rs.4.72 lakhs approximately and the said payment shall be released in favour of the petitioner positively within next two working days from today.

2. In view of the aforesaid undertaking by the respondent(s), learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition, however seeks liberty to avail appropriate remedies with respect to the remaining dues, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as not pressed with the aforesaid liberty. Rule stands discharged.

4. However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned officer would be liable to pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- as cost(s) from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

February 21, 2025

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No