



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-6331-2015 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 07.01.2025

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Puneet Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for quashing of the condition in the order dated 11.10.2013, whereby the period of service, vide which the petitioner remained out of service, has been treated as "Dies Non" without assigning any reason, even though the respondents had accepted their fault/error of accepting the application for premature retirement, he having not completed the age of 50 years as also the 25 years of qualifying service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies on the judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Shri Hans Raj vs. State of Punjab and Others**, 1984 (3) SLR 147, which covers his case on all fours.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the respondents would not be averse to having a relook at the matter.

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an order afresh, taking note of the afore-referred judgment, within a period of 3

months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**

**07.01.2025**

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No