



CRM-M-25488-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M-25488-2025
Decided on:- 23.07.2025**

Tonmoy Roy

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Gurmohan S. Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * * * *

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner Tonmoy Roy has filed petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking concession of pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 0010 dated 19.02.2025 under Section 406, 498-A of IPC registered at Police Station Women, District Amritsar, Punjab (Annexure P-1).

2. Brief facts of the case are, FIR has been lodged on the complaint of Neha Kalia where she alleged that her marriage was performed with Tonmoy Roy son of Tapas Roy on 26.04.2021 according to Hindu Rites. Her parents had spent money beyond their capacity. No child was born out of this wedlock. On Shagun ceremony, her parents had spent approximately Rs. 4 lacs and on marriage ceremony, they had spent over Rs. 7 lacs. She was given gold ornaments and cash amount to purchase household articles and a Bullet motorcycle. They were given costly gifts,



gold jewellery, expensive clothes etc. as detailed in FIR. Soon after marriage, accused started misbehaving with her as they were unhappy with dowry articles. She has narrated incidents when she was ill-treated in matrimonial home. Their relationship deteriorated. Her in-laws family was under debt. Her jewellery was lying in the bank locker and Maruti Suzuki car was in possession of accused. There was effort on the part of her parents to effect compromise, but they refused. There was demand of cash of Rs. 25 lacs. Other litigation also started. Finally, this complaint was filed, on the basis of which present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel representing petitioner pointed out that matter has been compromised between the parties with the intervention of Supreme Court Mediation Centre. Settlement Agreement dated 15.05.2025 produced in Court. Petitioner is still ready to join investigation. Therefore, his anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.

4. Status report already filed through Registry and same is taken on record. Learned counsel representing complainant confirmed the compromise arrived at between the parties in Supreme Court Mediation Centre. Therefore, complainant has no objection to anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by sending petitioner behind the bars. Matrimonial dispute has been resolved through Supreme Court Mediation Centre. Petitioner is ready to join investigation as and when required. Therefore, anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner Tonmoy Roy is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that



CRM-M-25488-2025

-3-

petitioner will join investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482(2) Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

23.07.2025

lalit

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No