



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

**CRM-M-32742-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.06.2025**

JAGPREET SINGH @ JAGGA**.....Petitioner****Vs****STATE OF PUNJAB****....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Sahil Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS'), prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.109 dated 25.06.2024 registered under Sections 379B(2) of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 411 and 326 read with Section 34 IPC added later on) at Police Station Dharmkot, District Moga.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated with the allegations of having inflicted *kapa* blow on the left arm of the niece of complainant.

3. The prayer made on behalf of the petitioner has been opposed by learned State counsel by referring to the serious nature of injuries.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.



5. In the present case, the investigation already stands concluded with the filing of challan followed by framing of charges on 09.10.2024. Even the injured – Navdeep Kaur as well as complainant – Gurnam Kaur already stands examined as PW-1 and PW-2, respectively. Petitioner is not involved in any other case of similar nature and is behind the bars for almost one year.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of almost one year and the trial is likely to take sometime in its culmination, I do not find any justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

8. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.

JUNE 23, 2025
d.gulati

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No