



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

303

CRM-M-58715-2024

Date of Decision: 20.01.2025

AMARJIT SINGH @ SHERRY AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ramneek Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manvender S. Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 27.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
428	29.12.2023	379-B(2), 511 and 34 of IPC	Tanda, District Hoshiarpur

2. Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of an affidavit of Davinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Tanda, District Hoshiarpur on behalf of respondent-State in Court, which is taken on record.

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 27.08.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was



referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya vide report dated 23.12.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.428 dated 29.12.2023, under Sections 379-B(2), 511 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner/s.

January 20, 2025

Mohit Bishnoi

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No