



CRM-M-12722-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

313

CRM-M-12722-2025

Date of decision : 29.04.2025

Gursewak Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Deepanshu Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in FIR No.33 dated 18.02.2024, under Section 21 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar Rural. In alternate thereto, he is seeking interim bail on account of medical condition of his father.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father of the petitioner is suffering from cataract for which he requires immediate medical attention. There is no one in the family except the present petitioner to look after him. He further submits that the father of the petitioner is also diabetic. He has referred to the copies of the concerned medical record at Annexure P-5 (colly.) He has also produced another medical prescription dated 23.04.2025 stating that the father of the petitioner is under treatment with diagnosis of HTN with T2DM with diabetic foot right with septicemia with multiorgan involvement since 16.04.2025. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner



CRM-M-12722-2025

-2-

is in custody since 21.02.2024 and is not involved in any other case. He prays that the petitioner be released on interim bail to look after his father.

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions submits that although charges have been framed but none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined. He has earlier filed the custody certificate which indicates that the petitioner is in custody for 01 year, 01 month and 11 days as on 01.04.2025 and is not involved in any other case.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the medical condition of the father of the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for over a year; he is not involved in any other case and the trial is likely to take time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of interim bail to the petitioner for a period of three weeks.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed qua the interim bail only. The petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail for a period of three weeks on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. He shall positively surrender before the concerned jail on expiry of three weeks.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

29.04.2025
A.Kaundal

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No