

**241 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CR-3272-2023****Date of Decision: April 21, 2025****Tarsem Singh****...Petitioner****Versus****Jitender Singh and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA****Present:-** None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukesh Kumar Jindal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Memo of appearance has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1.

2. This revision was filed by the petitioner assailing the order dated 20.03.2023, whereby defendants were allowed to produce additional evidence at the fag end of the trial.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that in the additional evidence, the trial Court had allowed to place on record the original family settlement dated 27.12.1999 (EX.PZ).

4. Since, nobody is putting in appearance on behalf of the petitioner, the present petition is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution. However, it is made clear that evidenciary value and mode of proof of the additional evidence as taken on record by way of impugned order, shall be considered at the time of final arguments, by the trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

April 21, 2025

sarita

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**Whether reasoned/speaking:
Whether reportable:Yes/No
Yes/No