

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**FAO-2441-1999 (O&M)
Decided on:09.07.2025**

Tirath Singh

. . . Appellant

Versus

Mohinder Singh and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Mayank Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 07.04.2025, this Court has been pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Mayank Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.*

*Mr. R. N. Singal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.*

*As per the report of the registry, respondent
No.2 has died and respondent No.1 has not been served as
an incorrect address has been provided.*

*Learned counsel for the appellant prays for an
adjournment to bring on record the legal representatives of
respondent No.2.*

Adjourned to 09.07.2025.

*Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1
for the said date on supply of correct address by the
appellant.*

07.04.2025”

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellant nor the appellant has approached the office of the counsel for the appellant and thus,



he is not in a position to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date and hence, he prays that the present appeal may be disposed of at this stage but liberty may be granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives after complying with the order dated 07.04.2025.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

09.07.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

*Yes/No
Yes/No*