



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(127)

**CR-6723-2025**

Date of Decision:-22.09.2025

BAL KISHAN

... Petitioner

Versus

DAYANAND AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

-.-

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL**

Present:- Mr. Nipun Vashisht, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J.** (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed this present Civil Revision Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India assailing the order dated 29.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Faridabad, vide which, the application of the petitioner/defendant for setting aside the *ex parte* order dated 09.08.2021 has been dismissed.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel submitted that his purpose would be served, in case, he is allowed to join the proceedings at this stage.

3. Perusal of the impugned order clearly shows that the Court after declining the setting aside of the *ex parte* proceedings has not even allowed the petitioner/defendant to join the proceedings of the suit at the stage, where it were pending, so the order to that effect is patently wrong. The order is modified to that extent and the petitioner is allowed to join the proceedings at this stage, where the proceedings are pending at present.

4. Accordingly, the present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

22.09.2025

S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No