

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

COCP 1026 of 2013  
Date of decision: 2.8.2013

*Ram Chander*

*.. petitioner*

VS

*Sh. Nitin Kumar Yadav*

*... respondent*

Present Mr. RD Yadav, Advocate.  
Mr. RD Sharma, DAG, Hry

M.M.S. BEDI, J.

The grievance of the petitioner that order dated 3.10.2012 directing the respondents to consider his claim for counting the work charge service towards the length of service for the purpose of retiral benefits having not been considered, seems to have become infructuous as the claim of the petitioner having been accepted and the amount having been released, the present contempt petition deserves to be disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to interest on the delayed payments. Without expression of any opinion regarding the rights of the petitioner to claim interest in context to the provisions of Limitation Act, this petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

August 2 ,2013  
TSM

( M.M.S. BEDI )  
JUDGE