



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22590-2025

Date of decision: 14.05.2025

SUNIL

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ravikant Barwala, Advocate for
Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
60	27.01.2025	191 (3), 190, 115 (2), 109, 126 (2), 351 (3) of BNS, 2023.	Palla, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 29.04.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 29.04.2025.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karamveer, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

4. During the course of hearing on 29.04.2025, following order was passed:



CRM-M-22590-2025

2

“2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further contends that the petitioner has not participated in the alleged occurrence and the only role attributed to the petitioner is being the pillion rider on the motorcycle of co-accused Vijay. He contends that the petitioner has filed the petition before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, who had granted interim protection to the petitioner and the petitioner had even joined the investigation, however, the bail application has been dismissed vide order dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) on flimsy grounds alleging that the petitioner has not got recovered the motorcycle belonging to co-accused Vijay Kumar. He submits that the petitioner is still ready to join the investigation.

3. Notice of motion.


4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State respondent and has not disputed the factual matrix qua the grant of interim protection by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, and that the petitioner has joined the investigation. However, he seeks time to file the short reply in the matter.

5. Adjourned to 14.05.2025.

6. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.”

**CRM-M-22590-2025**

3

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 29.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 29.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

14.05.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No