



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CR-1675-2025 (O&M)
Decided on:25.03.2025

Charanjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Nirmal Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 11.02.2025 passed by the Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ludhiana whereby the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC read with Section 151 CPC for amendment of the plaint filed by the petitioner has been dismissed.

2. On 20.03.2025, this Court had been pleased to pass the following order:-


*“Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*On request of learned counsel for the petitioner,
adjourned to 25.03.2025.*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

March 20,2025”

3. Today again, a request for an adjournment has been made without filing an adjournment slip.



4. In view of the above, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of at this stage.

5. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition when the counsel for the petitioner is ready to argue the matter. Alongwith the application for revival of the petition, the petitioner would also annex the zimni order dated 10.03.2025 and the subsequent zimni orders.

25.03.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**