



108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4046-2025  
Date of Decision : 10.03.2025

Dr. Suresh Kumar Kagra ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others ....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present: Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagbir Singh, Advocate for respondent nos.2 to 4/University.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)**

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents not to terminate the services of the petitioner from the post of part time Teacher as also to decide his representation, dated 12.01.2025, Annexure P-8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case fourth respondent is directed to decide his pending representation, dated 12.01.2025, Annexure P-8, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the pending representation dated 12.01.2025, will be decided by the fourth respondent/Registrar of the



University, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within six weeks.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

**March 10, 2025**

*ps*

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/ reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*