



135 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-10624-2025
Date of decision: 30.09.2025**

SARBJIT KAUR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr. Harsh Neyol, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and commanding the respondents not to harass, arrest, detain, intimidate or take any coercive criminal or preventive action against the petitioner and her family members and to immediately conduct a fair, independent and time-bound departmental inquiry into the illegal detention of the petitioner and other women on 20.09.2025 and to consider and decide representations/complaint dated 16.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) and 23.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) filed by petitioner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of Court, Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and assures that respondent No.2-



Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib would look into the representations dated 16.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) and 23.09.2025 (Annexure P-2).

4. In view of the above, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib is directed to look into the representations and decide the same on the basis of threat perception felt by the petitioner and if the threat perception is found to be true then the protection as admissible in law shall be provided. In case, the concerned SSP is of the view that no protection is required, then a speaking order shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

30.09.2025
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No