



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-50458-2025

Date of Decision: 10.09.2025

**BAALVINDER SINGH @ BALVARINDER SINGH @ ALAN INDER
SINGH**

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.130 dated 31.05.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 332(c), 191(3), 190 BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present FIR offences are punishable up to 7 years for which it is mandatory upon the police officials to serve notice under Section 35(3) BNSS i.e. Section 41 of CrPC., which has not been done and police officials are continuously raiding the house of the petitioner in order to arrest him. It is further submitted that co-accused namely Yadvarinder Singh @ Yadvinder Singh has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 20.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) passed in CRM-M-45398-2025 and role of the present petitioner is also on the same footing and as such, the petitioner is requesting the same relief. Petitioner is a 25 years old boy and he is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the Investigating Officer.



3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.
5. Learned State counsel has opposed the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that custody of the petitioner is required for recovery of the weapon. It is fairly conceded that co-accused namely Yadvarinder Singh @ Yadvinder Singh has been granted bail by this Court in CRM-M-45398-2025 decided on 20.08.2025. The maximum punishment in the present FIR is up to seven years.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer of the present case. Investigating Officer is directed to follow the directions as enumerated by the Supreme Court in "***Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar and another***" (2014), 8 SCC 273 and "***Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI***", (2022) 10 SCC 51.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

10.09.2025

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No