



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

260

CRM-M-64376-2024 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision:- 17.01.2025

CHANDI RAM AND ORS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present : Mr. Anil Saini, Advocate for  
Ms. Pallavi Babbar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.2 to 6.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)**

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR  
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the  
basis of compromise/settlement dated 14.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed  
between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

<b>FIR No.</b>	<b>Dated</b>	<b>Sections</b>	<b>Police Station</b>
435	29.10.2023	148, 149, 323, 324, 452, 506 IPC; (326 IPC added later on)	Madhuban, District Karnal



2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 14.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal vide report dated 09.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.25,000/- in compliance to the order dated 19.12.2024.

3. Respondent Nos.2 to 6 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.435 dated 29.10.2023 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 452, 506 IPC; (326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Madhuban, District



Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

**(SANJIV BERRY)**  
**JUDGE**

**17.01.2025**

*S.Sharma(syr)*

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |