



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.5479 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Order:22.05.2025

Baldev

.Petitioner

Versus

Kamaljit Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. During the pendency of the suit, the trial court has allowed the application filed by the defendant to nominate local commissioner in order to get status report. The correctness of the aforesaid order is challenged in this revision petition.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the Local Commissioner cannot be appointed for collecting the evidence and before framing of the issues.

3. This court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the petitioner.

4. It is evident that the trial court has not appointed Local Commissioner to collect evidence. He has been appointed to ascertain actual and factual position on the spot.

5. With regard to second contention, the learned counsel representing the petitioner failed to substantiate the contention.

6. It may be noted here that while deciding the suit or the appeal,



the court is competent to nominate a Local Commissioner, who can visit the spot and apprise the court about actual and factual position. Such enabling power helps the courts in advancing the cause of justice.

7. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.

8. Dismissed.

9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

May 22, 2025

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : **Yes/No**
Whether reportable : **Yes/No**