

2025.PHHC:129842



CRM-M-10205-2025
CRM-M-13547-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

315

CRM-M-10205-2025

Decided on : 17.09.2025

GOURAV MEHRA @ GAURAV MEHRA . . . PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS . . . RESPONDENTS

CRM-M-13547 of 2025

JOGINDER PAL MEHRA AND ANOTHER ..PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Bharat Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Atinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petitions have been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise deeds dated

2025.PHHC:129842



CRM-M-10205-2025

CRM-M-13547-2025

03.02.2025 & 07.10.2024 (Annexure P-2 & P-3) effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
0087	21.05.2018	406, 420, 120-B IPC, Section 24 of Immigration Act and Section 13 of PTPR Act, 2014	Maqsudan	Jalandhar Rural

2. Vide order dated 05.05.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:

Sr.	Description
------------	--------------------

2025.PHHC.129842



CRM-M-10205-2025

CRM-M-13547-2025

No.		
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Five, during inquiry accused Divya and Naresh Kumar were found innocent; thereafter challan was presented only against three accused namely Gourav Mehra alias Gaurav Mehra, Joginderpal Mehra and Leela Wati alias Leela Mehra.
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant & one victim
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	--
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	As per the statements of parties and Investigating Officer, accused Gourav Mehra @ Gaurav Mehra was declared as proclaimed offender/person vide order dated 08.05.2019 and vide order dated 18.04.2023 passed by the Cour of Sh. Manu Singla, the then Ld. JMJC Jalandhar, he was re-arrested in the present FIR and was joined into the investigation; accused Joginder Pal Singh Mehra and Leela Wati @ Leela Mehra were declared as proclaimed offender/person vide order dated 08.05.2019 and vide order dated 03.03.2025 passed by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRM-M-63010-2024, order dated 08.05.2019 vide which the accused were declared as proclaimed offenders/persons, was set aside, in the present FIR.
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	No

2025.PHHC:129842



CRM-M-10205-2025

CRM-M-13547-2025

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 17, 2025

Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No