



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

255

CRM-M-21470-2025

Date of Decision: 28.05.2025

Vikas Verma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Sauhard Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G, Haryana.

Mr. Prajjawal Jaiswal, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Gugnani, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under for quashing of FIR No.545 dated 17.12.2016 registered under Section 66(B) of I.T. Act and Sections 379 and 420 of IPC (Sections 379 and 420 IPC deleted later on and Section 66 of I.T.Act and Section 34 IPC added later on), at Police Station Udyog Vihar, District Gurugram and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. This Court vide order dated 24.04.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram and got their statements



recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 19.05.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab** and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others** (2012) 10 SCC 303, this petition is allowed and FIR No.545 dated 17.12.2016 registered under Section 66(B) of I.T. Act and Sections 379 and 420 of IPC (Sections 379 and 420 IPC deleted later on and Section 66 of I.T.Act and Section 34 IPC added later on), at Police Station Udyog Vihar, District Gurugram and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) are quashed qua the petitioners.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

May 28, 2025

Nisha-1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No