



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

ARB-29-2025

Date of decision: 20.01.2025

CREATIVE BUILDERS

...APPLICANT

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Meenal Garg, Advocate  
for the applicant.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)**

1. Through instant application under Section 29A(4) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator for a period of three months.

2. The applicant preferred an application under Section 11 of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 23.02.2023 (Annexure P-1) of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Both the parties appeared before the Arbitrator and filed their claims/counter claims. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A(4) of 1996 Act would expire on 25.01.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of Arbitrator. Both the parties have filed claims/counter claims. The matter has already been heard by the Arbitrator.



**ARB-29-2025**

**-2-**

4. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the afore-stated factual, legal position and prayer of the applicant.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period of 3 months from 25.01.2025.

20.01.2025  
manoj

**[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No