



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**IOIN-FAO-5-M-1995 in
FAO-5-M-1995 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.04.2025**

Karamjit Singh

....Appellant

Versus

Rajbir Kaur

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Tarannum Madan, Advocate for
Mr. Chanchal Singla, Advocate
for the respondent.

Sureshwar Thakur, J. (Oral)

IOIN-FAO-5-M-1995 in FAO-5-M-1995

The file is reconstructed.

As per office report, notice already issued to the appellant has been received back duly served upon him, but despite calling of the case twice, today neither the appellant nor his validly engaged counsel is present before this Court.

In the aforesaid circumstances, with the consent of learned counsel for the respondent, the main case is taken on board.

No further orders are required to be passed in the extant IOIN and the same is disposed of.

FAO-5-M-1995 (O&M)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant despite notice.
2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2025
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No