

CRM-M-26009 of 2025

2025:PHHC:065298



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26009 of 2025

Date of decision: 15.05.2025

Maan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of interim bail to the petitioner for seven days on account of marriage of his nephew and niece scheduled to be solemnized on 17.05.2025 and 18.05.2025, during the pendency of trial in case FIR No.458 dated 01.07.2022 registered under Sections 10, 17, 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Sections 120-B, 328, 365, 34, 370-A, 376(2)(n), 376DA, 506 and 509 IPC at Police Station Rohtak City, District Rohtak.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that marriages of petitioner's nephew and niece are fixed for 17.05.2025 and 18.05.2025 in Village Lutana Amichand, Tehsil Rajgarh, District Churu (Rajasthan). The wedding card is annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition. He further contended that being maternal uncle (Mama)



presence of the petitioner is required for performing various ceremonies and making necessary arrangements in this regard, therefore, he may be granted the concession of interim bail for seven days.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel confirms the factum of marriage of children of petitioner's sister to be performed on 17.05.2025 and 18.05.2025. However, he submits that presence of the petitioner during marriage ceremony is not necessary as his other two brothers and sisters are available to perform the rituals and make arrangements for the marriage ceremonies.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. Marriage of the nephew and niece of the petitioner is not in dispute. However, presence of the petitioner during marriage function is not necessary as petitioner has two brothers and three sisters and they are available to perform all the rituals and ceremonies and make all necessary arrangements. Even otherwise the marriage ceremonies are to take place in Village Lutana Amichand, Tehsil Rajgarh, District Churu, Rajasthan. The allegations against him are serious in nature. There is apprehension that in case he is granted interim bail he can abscond and hamper the trial as the marriage functions are scheduled outside the State of Haryana and taking the petitioner in custody to the aforesaid place in Rajasthan is not feasible. Earlier also the petitioner had sought relief of interim bail by way of filing CRM-M-22717 of 2023 to attend the marriage of his brother and



cousin sister, which was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 08.05.2023.

6. In view of the above, no ground is made out to grant interim bail to the petitioner.

7. Dismissed.

15.05.2025
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No