



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-20462-2025

Date of decision: 08.10.2025

SATPAL

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Pratap Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, J.**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.54 dated 04.03.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 308(2), 316(2), 318(4), 351(2), 61 of BNS, 2023 and Section 24 of Immigration Act (Section 143 of BNS Act added later on) registered at Police Station Guhla, District Kaithal, Haryana.

2. On 29.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.54 dated 04.03.2025 under Sections 308(2), 316(2), 318(4), 351(2), 61, 143 of the BNS, 2023 and Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Guhla, District Kaithal.*

*Power of attorney on behalf of the complainant has been filed in Court, which is taken on record.*



*Status report by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, (HQ) Kaithal, has been filed in Court, which is taken on record.*

*Adjourned to 11.08.2025.*

*Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 29.04.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 29.04.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.



8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.10.2025**

*jatn*

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No