

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12237-2025 (O/M)

Date of decision : 02.05.2025

Angrej Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Canal Officer, Sirhind Canal Circle,
Ludhiana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present :- Mr. Vinay Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

..-

..-

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing official respondents No. 1 to 5 to proceed under Rule 12 (a) of Rules For Extra Supply of Canal Water For Gardens and Orchards, 1946, framed under the Northern India Canal and Drainage Act, 1873 (in short '1946 Rules') against respondent No. 6, who in connivance with respondents No. 4 and 5, is mis-utilizing the extra supply of canal water.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail his other remedy, as available to the petitioner, in terms of Rule 9 of 1946 Rules, seeking double supply of water to his land.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. It goes without saying that if any such an application, in terms of Rule 9 of 1946 Rules, is filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today, same shall be considered by the authority in accordance with the aforesaid 1946 Rules and final decision be taken thereon within a period of four weeks from the date when such an application is submitted by the petitioner.

5. Pending application (s), if any, shall also stand closed.

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

02.05.2025
sjks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No