

2025:PHHC:137596



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-39977-2025
Date of decision: 30.09.2025**

HIMANSHU BAALUPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Deepak Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant first petition is for seeking concession of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 67 dated 10.05.2025 under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Sadar Nawanshahr, District SBS Nagar, Punjab.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the case is hand relates to recovery of 20 intoxicating tablets labelled as Etizolam IP 0.5 mg effected from the petitioner. He contends that petitioner is not involved in any other case and has been in custody for more than 05 months. He undertakes to appear before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing as and when fixed by the trial Court.

3. Counsel for the respondent-State on the other hand contends that 03 witnesses have been examined while 03 other witnesses have been

**CRM-M-39977-2025**

-2-

given up, hence, the trial is progressing at fast pace. However, it is not disputed that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition.

5. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration the fact that age of the petitioner is 21 years and he has undergone custody for a period of more than 05 months, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 30, 2025*Vishal Sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No