



*LPA-1330-2025 (O&M) and connected cases*

Page 1 of 3

**107 (6 cases)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**1.**

**LPA-1330-2025 (O&M)**

SUDESH KUMAR

. . . . Appellant

**Vs.**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**2.**

**LPA-1332-2025 (O&M)**

SANJAY KUMAR

. . . . Appellant

**Vs.**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**3.**

**LPA-1334-2025 (O&M)**

NARESH KUMAR

. . . . Appellant

**Vs.**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**4.**

**LPA-1338-2025 (O&M)**

GEETA

. . . . Appellant

**Vs.**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**5.**

**LPA-1339-2025 (O&M)**

KANVARDEEP KAUR

. . . . Appellant

**Vs.**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*



*LPA-1330-2025 (O&M) and connected cases*

Page 2 of 3

6.

**LPA-1340-2025 (O&M)**

SONU BAJAJ ALIAS SONU KUMAR

. . . . Appellant

**Vs.**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**Date of Decision: 06.05.2025**

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate with  
Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Advocate  
for the appellants.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)**

1. These appeals are filed against the order dated 21.03.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby the learned Single Judge has non-suited the appellants/writ petitioners on the ground that they did not proceed to act upon the directions issued in their cases way back in the year 2022, whereby the Court allowed the writ petitioners to make representations. While the present appellants/writ petitioners chose not to proceed further, other petitioners submitted representation which was rejected by the authorities in 2023, whereafter they challenged the said rejection order before the Court, and the Court passed an order in their favour.
2. After the decision was rendered in their cases granting them relief, the present writ petitioners rose from their slumber and preferred writ petitions before the Court seeking same relief. The learned Single Judge who had passed the earlier judgment in favour of the other writ



*LPA-1330-2025 (O&M) and connected cases*

Page 3 of 3

petitioners found the present writ petitions lacking on the ground of delay and laches on their part as well as on the fact that they had not even complied with the earlier directions issued by the Court in the writ petition preferred by them earlier. In view thereto, the writ petitions preferred by the present appellants/writ petitioners were dismissed.

3. We do not find any reason to interfere with the order dated 21.03.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge. The appeals are accordingly *dismissed*.
4. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

**06.05.2025**

*Mohit goyal*

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*